



# 2025 AmeriCorps State Competitive Grant Application New & Recompete Scoring Rubric

Name of Reviewer: Click or tap here to enter text.

Grant Applicant Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Each application should be reviewed and evaluated based <u>only</u> on the following criteria as outlined in the NOFO and the Application Instructions. Please make specific comments (including page numbers) on areas that are missing or unclear. Additionally, if there are any areas within the application that are outstanding, please note that as well.

Section of Application	Points Awarded	Points Available
A. Executive Summary		0
B. Program Design		50
C. Organizational Capability		25
D. Cost-Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy		25
TOTAL:		100

### A. Executive Summary (0 Points but Required)

Applicant should use the template below to complete the Executive Summary. The applicant should not deviate from the template below (bold text added for emphasis only).

"The [Name of the organization] will have [Number of] AmeriCorps members in [the locations the AmeriCorps members will serve, e.g. – City, State or State(s)]. AmeriCorps members will [service activities the members will do]. At the end of the first program year, the AmeriCorps members will be responsible for [anticipated outcome of project]. In addition, the AmeriCorps members will leverage [number of leveraged volunteers, if applicable] who will be engaged in [what the leveraged volunteers will be doing].

The AmeriCorps investment will be matched with \$[amount of projected match], \$[amount of local, state, and Federal Funds] in public funding and \$[amount of non-governmental funds] in private funding.

Criteria	Yes	No
The applicant followed the template provided.		

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY COMMENTS:** Click or tap here to enter text.

POINTS AWARDED FOR EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: Click or tap here to enter text. out of 0 \*Put the Points Awarded here in the table above on Page 1.

# **B.** Program Design

# 1. Community and Logic Model (24 points)

The application provides a detailed summary of the community problem, including:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
How the inequities faced by underserved communities may		
contribute to the problem.		
How the CDC's Social Vulnerability Index explains the extent of the		
problem.		
How the applicant's intervention(s) will lead to the outcomes identified in the organization's Logic Model.		

#### **<u>COMMUNITY COMMENTS</u>**: Click or tap here to enter text.

The L	ogic Model describes:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
The i	nputs or resources that are necessary to deliver the		
interv	vention, including but not limited to:		
0	Locations or sites in which members will provide services		
0	Setting and community condition where the intervention is		
	delivered.		
0	Number of AmeriCorps members who will deliver the		
	intervention		
0	Characteristics of AmeriCorps members, including specific		
	knowledge, skills, and abilities required to implement the		
	intervention.		
	ore activities that define the intervention or program model		
that r	nembers will implement or deliver, including:		
0	Length of each activity (e.g., the total number of weeks,		
	sessions or months of the intervention)		
0	The dosage of each activity (e.g., the number of hours per		
	session or sessions per week)		
0	The target population for the intervention (e.g., disconnected		
	youth, third graders at a certain reading proficiency level)		
	neasurable outputs that result from delivering the intervention		
-	number of beneficiaries served, types and number of activities		
	ucted, equity gaps closed). If applicable, identify which National		
	rmance Measures will be used as output indicators.		
	omes that demonstrate changes in knowledge/skill, attitude,		
	vior, or condition that occur as a result of the intervention. If		
	cable, identify which National Performance Measures will be		
	as outcome indicators.		
Does	not exceed 8 pages.		

<u>\*Note</u>: Programs should include short, medium or long-term outcomes. Applicants are not required to measure all components of their Logic Model. The applicant's performance measures should be consistent with the program's Logic Model and should represent significant program activities.

Applicants with multiple interventions should include the above information for each intervention in the Logic Model.

**LOGIC MODEL COMMENTS:** Click or tap here to enter text.

#### **POINTS AWARDED FOR COMMUNITY & LOGIC MODEL**: Click or tap here to enter text. **out of 24**

#### 2. Evidence Base (20 points)

	Points	Points
Criteria	Awarded	Available
Evidence Tier:		12
Evidence Quality:		8

**EVIDENCE BASE COMMENTS:** Click or tap here to enter text.

#### **POINTS AWARDED FOR EVIDENCE BASE**: Click or tap here to enter text. **out of 20**

#### 3. Notice Priority (0 points, but required)

Criteria	Yes	No
The applicant's proposed program fits within one or more of the		
AmeriCorps funding priorities.		

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Click or tap here to enter text.

# POINTS AWARDED FOR NOTICE PRIORITY: 0 out of 0

#### 4. Member Experience (6 points)

This section shall contain the following elements:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
The applicant describes how AmeriCorps members will be provided		
leadership and skill development opportunities.		
The applicant describes how the organization will provide members		
an asset-based orientation to the community.		

**MEMBER EXPERIENCE COMMENTS**: Click or tap here to enter text.

**POINTS AWARDED FOR MEMBER EXPERIENCE**: Click or tap here to enter text. **out of 6** 

Program Design Scoring Section	Points Awarded	Points Available
1. Community and Logic Model		24
2. Evidence Base		20
3. Notice Priority		0
4. Member Experience		6
TOTAL:		50

\*Put the Points Awarded in this table in the first table on Page 1.

# C. Organizational Capability

### 1. Organizational Background and Staffing (15 points)

This section shall contain the following elements:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
The applicant details the roles, responsibilities, and structure of the		
staff that will be implementing, providing oversight, and monitoring		
the program.		
The applicant describes their organization's mission and relevant		
experience in areas such as volunteer recruitment and		
management, community outreach, overcoming project		
implementation challenges, etc.		
The applicant describes their organization's experience with		
managing grants, especially federal or state grants. Includes their		
past performance in meeting:		
<ul> <li>Grant goals and objectives.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Compliance and reporting requirements.</li> </ul>		
Note: If the applicant does not have experience with managing		
grants, they indicate that.		
The applicant has facilitated, partnered, or participated in		
educational or workforce development programs e.g. work		
experience or job training programs, etc.		

**ORGANIZATIONAL BACKGROUND & STAFFING COMMENTS:** Click or tap here to enter text.

# POINTS AWARDED FOR ORGANIZATIONAL BACKGROUND & STAFFING: Click or tap here to enter text. out of 15

#### 2. Member Supervision (6 points)

This section shall contain the following elements:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
The applicant describes how AmeriCorps members will receive		
sufficient guidance and support from their supervisor to provide		
effective service. E.g., structure for member supervision: cadence		
and format of supervisor/AmeriCorps member check-ins, member		
and supervisor opportunities to assess strengths and opportunities		
for growth, member training plan, etc.		
The applicant describes how AmeriCorps supervisors will be		
adequately trained to follow AmeriCorps and program regulations,		
priorities, and expectations. E.g., structure for support of		
supervisors, training plan for supervisors related to supervision and		
AmeriCorps rules and regulations, cadence and format of		
AmeriCorps supervisors/their supervisors check ins, opportunities		
to assess strengthens and opportunities for growth of supervisors,		
etc.		

**MEMBER SUPERVISION COMMENTS:** Click or tap here to enter text.

### **POINTS AWARDED FOR MEMBER SUPERVISION:** Click or tap here to enter text. **out of 6**

#### 3. Commitment to Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (4 points)

This section shall describe the following elements:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
The leadership and staff of the organization have similar lived experience as the beneficiary population and/or community being served.		
The applicant's definitions of diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility is demonstrated by the organization. E.g., diversity on the Board of Directors, agency staff and leadership, and/or volunteers. The organization upholds a supportive and safe environment for individuals of diverse backgrounds.		

# COMMITMENT TO DIVERSITY, EQUITY, INCLUSION, AND ACCESSIBILITY COMMENTS: Click or tap here to

enter text.

POINTS AWARDED FOR COMMITMENT TO DIVERSITY, EQUITY, INCLUSION, AND ACCESSIBILITY: Click or tap here to enter text. out of 4

Organizational Capability Scoring Section	Points Awarded	Points Available
1. Organizational Background & Staffing		15
2. Member Supervision		6
3. Commitment to Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility		4
TOTAL:		25

\*Put the Points Awarded in this table in the first table on Page 1.

### D. Cost-Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy

### **1.** Member Recruitment (8 points)

This section shall contain the following elements:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
The applicant describes budget expenses to support recruitment		
of AmeriCorps members best suited to serve the community e.g.		
from geographic or demographic communities in which the		
program operates.		
The applicant describes how the AmeriCorps member living		
allowance and other benefits are adjusted within the maximum		
and minimum living allowance to accommodate for regional		
variations in contributing to cost of living including: housing,		
transportation, food, and other expenses. This may vary		
depending on a program's type of member slots, e.g. FT, PT,		
RPT, etc.		

**MEMBER RECRUITMENT COMMENTS:** Click or tap here to enter text.

POINTS AWARDED FOR MEMBER RECRUITMENT: Click or tap here to enter text. out of 8

### 2. Member Retention (9 points)

This section shall contain the following elements:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
The applicant provides a description of budget expenses to		
support retention of AmeriCorps members. E.g., additional		
member benefits such as paying above the minimum living		
allowance, supporting workforce pathways, certifications,		
coaching for members, resume building, individual benefit as well		
as community building, network building, member recognition,		
alumni programming, etc.		

MEMBER RETENTION COMMENTS: Click or tap here to enter text.

POINTS AWARDED FOR MEMBER RETENTION: Click or tap here to enter text. out of 9

### 3. Data Collection (8 points)

This section shall contain the following elements:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
The applicant provides a description of budget expenses to		
support data collection, continuous improvement activities, and		
evaluation of the interventions and impact on the community and		
the member experience.		

#### **DATA COLLECTION COMMENTS:** Click or tap here to enter text.

POINTS AWARDED FOR DATA COLLECTION: Click or tap here to enter text. out of 8

#### **4.** Serve Idaho Specific Budget Items (0 points but required)

The budget contains the following items:	Yes, It Does	No, It Does Not
Travel to quarterly Program Director meetings for at least 1 staff		
member		
Travel & Registration to America's Service Commission (ASC)		
Annual Training for at least 1 staff member		
Serve Idaho Administrative/Indirect Costs		
Travel & Registration to Serve Idaho Conference for staff.		
Travel & Registration to Serve Idaho Conference for members		
America Learns for member timesheets and files		
Criminal History Check costs for any new staff listed on budget		
Criminal History Check costs for members		
Items are written in the form of an equation		

#### SERVE IDAHO SPECIFIC BUDGET COMMENTS: Click or tap here to enter text.

POINTS AWARDED FOR SERVE IDAHO SPECIFIC BUDGET ITEMS: Click or tap here to enter text. out of 0

Cost-Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy Scoring Section	Points Awarded	Points Available
1. Member Recruitment		8
2. Member Retention		9
3. Data Collection		8
4. Serve Idaho Specific Budget Items		0
TOTAL:		25

### \*Put the Points Awarded here in the table above on Page 1.

### Signature of Reviewer:

**Date:** Click or tap here to enter text.